PLY-MS-93-04
DIAS-STP-93-21
October 1993

arXiv:hep-th/9310185v2 2 Nov 1993

BPST Instanton and Spin from Inequivalent Quantizations

David McMullan

School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Plymouth
Drake Circus, Plymouth, Devon PL4 8AA
U.K.
(e-mail: d.mcmullan@plymouth.ac.uk)

and

Izumi Tsutsui*

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies
10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4
Ireland
(e-mail: tsutsui@stp.dias.ie)

Abstract. We present a simple alternative to Mackey's account of the (infinite) inequivalent quantizations possible on a coset space G/H. Our reformulation is based on the reduction $G \to G/H$ and employs a generalized form of Dirac's approach to the quantization of constrained systems. When applied to the four-sphere $S^4 \simeq \mathrm{Spin}(5)/\mathrm{Spin}(4)$, the inequivalent quantizations induce relativistic spin and a background BPST instanton; thus they might provide a natural account of both of these physical entities.

* Address after 15 November 1993: Institute for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo, Midori-cho, Tanashi-shi, Tokyo 188, Japan.

There is no unique quantization of any classical system. For example, the simple configuration space $\mathbb{R}^3$ is purported to have a unique quantization courtesy of the celebrated Stone–von Neumann theorem, but as shown by Mackey [1], this is an artifact of how we view $\mathbb{R}^3$. If we identify $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the coset space E(3)/SO(3), where E(3) is the Euclidean group, then there are many quantizations possible labelled by the irreducible unitary representations of SO(3). This possibility of different quantizations on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is not just a mathematical curiosity but has important physical consequences. Indeed, the non-trivial quantizations (those not described by the Stone–von Neumann theorem and hence not equivalent to the standard Schrödinger description) correspond to quantum systems on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with (non-relativistic) spin.

With this example in mind, when we, for example, analyze Yang-Mills theory we should expect to no longer just talk about its specific quantization, but rather the different quantum sectors possible and speculate about their physical significance. However, there are serious technical problems in extending the above analysis to a more general configuration space Q, such as that of Yang-Mills theory, and we are forced to only consider the standard, Schrödinger quantization. This we feel is an unsatisfactory state of affairs — one that can only be resolved through a better understanding of how best to quantize on non-trivial configuration spaces.

The extension [1] of the analysis, discussed above for the configuration space $\mathbb{R}^3 \simeq$ E(3)/SO(3), to any configuration spaces Q isomorphic to a coset space[1] G/H shows that one can construct many *inequivalent* quantum theories labelled by the irreducible unitary representations of the closed subgroup H of G, and that there is no *a priori* reason to choose the trivial one (which is derived from the trivial representation of H and corresponds to the obvious Schrödinger type quantization in terms of wave functions on G/H) over the other non-trivial ones. Mackey's approach, and a number of other approaches developed from it (*e.g.*, [2, 3]), is based on the induced representations of G, and as such has the unusual property that it deals with wave functions which are *vector-valued* rather than scalar-valued, resulting in a path-integral which is path-ordered, describing a transition *matrix* rather than an amplitude. One aim of this Letter is to announce that there is an alternative, much simpler, method for quantizating on G/H which is free from such vector-valued wave functions and yet gives the same result (a fuller account of this is given in a separate paper [4]). The essense of the simplification lies in the recognition that the

[1] The Lie group G under consideration is either compact, or locally compact and abelian, or a (semidirect) product of such groups.

induced representations used by Mackey can be recoverd from a generalization of Dirac's approach to the quantization of constrained systems [5]. The proposed generalization states that the classical first class constraints which implement the classical reduction $\mathrm{G} \to \mathrm{G/H}$ must be allowed to become 'anomalous', *i.e.,* some of the first class constraints become second class in the quantum theory. (At the classical level the generalized Dirac approach is hence realized effectively by a Marsden-Weinstein reduction [6, 7].) As a concrete application of these ideas we shall show that when they are applied to $Q = S^4$ regarded as Spin(5)/Spin(4), the non-trivial quantizations induce a background BPST instanton [8] in addition to (relativistic) spin. (Regarding $S^4 \simeq \mathrm{SO}(5)/\mathrm{SO}(4)$ leads to a similar result [4].) A general framework leading to this result was already discussed before [9, 10, 11]; thus our aim here is to present a simple (and somewhat detailed) account of how this arises and use it to reinforce our conviction that the non-triviality of the quantizations on Q can indeed give rise to physically relevant effects. More speculatively, we feel that this example hints at a new role for the BPST instanton as a probe to the finiteness of space-time.

The systems we wish to quantize are those describing free (geodesic) motion on the configuration space $Q \simeq \mathrm{G/H}$, with respect to the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ induced from the Killing metric on a semisimple group G. Classically, this dynamics can be recovered from a reduction of the free motion on the extended configuration space G, which we now recapitulate. The kinematical arena for the Hamiltonian description of dynamics on the Lie group G is the phase space given by the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathrm{G}$ [6]. This is actually a trivial bundle over G and can be identified with $\mathrm{G} \times \mathfrak{g}$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G, allowing the pair (g, R), with $g \in \mathrm{G}$ and $R \in \mathfrak{g}$, to represent a point in the phase space. As with any cotangent bundle, this phase space comes equipped with a canonical symplectic 2-form ω from which the Poisson bracket between functions can be calculated. In terms of the above trivialization of $T^*\mathrm{G}$, this symplectic 2-form is given by[2]

$$\omega = d\theta\,, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \theta := -\,\mathrm{Tr}\, R(g^{-1}dg)\,. \tag{1}$$

Using a matrix representation of the elements of G so that g has matrix elements g_{ij}, this symplectic form leads to the fundamental Poisson bracket,

$$\{g_{ij}\,, g_{kl}\} = 0\,, \qquad \{R_m\,, g_{ij}\} = (gT_m)_{ij}\,, \qquad \{R_m\,, R_n\} = f^l_{mn}R_l\,, \tag{2}$$

[2] We use the normalized trace $\mathrm{Tr}(XY) := -\frac{1}{c}\,\mathrm{tr}(\pi(X)\pi(Y))$, where $\pi(X)$ is the matrix form assigned to $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ in an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, and c is a constant needed to make the trace representation-independent. In terms of a basis $\{T_m\}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ one has $X = X^m T_m$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, and one can raise or lower the indices using $\eta_{mn} := \mathrm{Tr}(T_m T_n)$ and its inverse η^{mn} with $\eta^{ml}\eta_{ln} = \delta^m_n$.

where f^l_{mn} are the structure constants of G, and $R_m := \mathrm{Tr}(T_m R)$ are the 'right-currents' which generate the right action of G on itself $g \to g\tilde{g}$ for $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{G}$. For our Hamiltonian on G we take

$$H = \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\,R^2 = \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^{mn}R_m R_n\,. \tag{3}$$

The equations of motion derived from (3) are then $\frac{d}{dt}\left(g^{-1}\dot{g}\right) = 0$ which describe geodesic motion on G.

Since the right action of the subgroup H on G is generated by the right currents $R_i = \mathrm{Tr}(T_i R)$, where $\{T_i\}$ is a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of the subgroup H, the currents R_i, which form the algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ under Poisson bracket $\{R_i\,, R_j\} = f^k_{ij}R_k$, can be used to reduce the phase space $T^*\mathrm{G}$ to $T^*(\mathrm{G/H})$; namely, the classical reduction is implemented by imposing the first class constraints

$$R_i = 0\,. \tag{4}$$

Dirac's approach for the quantum reduction then converts (4) to the conditions imposed on the physical states, $\widehat{R}_i\psi_{\mathrm{phy}} = 0$.

The basic idea of our generalized Dirac approach, applied to such coset spaces, is that the classical constraints (4) are no longer directly transcribed in their original form to the quantum theory; rather, one has to take into account the possible 'anomalous' behaviour mentioned earlier and replace (4) with the effective ones:

$$R_i = K_i\,, \tag{5}$$

where K_i are (at this stage arbitrary) constants. The ambiguity in the constants K_i signals the fact that one will have accordingly (infinitely) many distinct quantizations, and one can show [4] that they are indeed the possible quantizations described by Mackey. In fact, we shall see later that, due to a consistency at the quantum level, K_i must correspond to the set of intergers that label the highest weight representation χ of H. Note that for $K_i \neq 0$ the constraints $\phi_i := R_i - K_i = 0$ are not first class; they are a mixture of first and second class constraints since

$$\{\phi_i\,, \phi_j\} = f^k_{ij}\,\phi_k + \mathrm{Tr}([T_i, T_j]K) \approx \mathrm{Tr}([T_i, T_j]K)\,, \tag{6}$$

where we have introduced $K := K^i T_i$. In order to isolate the first class subset of (5) we consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_K := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{ad}_K) \cap \mathfrak{h}$ consisting of those elements $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ for which $[K, X] = 0$. For a generic K, that is, if K is a *regular semisimple* element in $\mathfrak{h}$, the

subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_K$ is precisely the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ containing K [12]. If not, $\mathfrak{s}_K$ is larger than $\mathfrak{t}$ and, due to the non-degeneracy of $\mathfrak{t}$ with respect to the Killing form, admits the decomposition $\mathfrak{s}_K = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{c}$ where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the orthogonal complement. Choosing a basis $\{T_s\}$ in $\mathfrak{s}_K$, we see that for any $T_j \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have $\mathrm{Tr}([T_s, T_j]K) = 0$ and hence the first class components in (5) are given by $\phi_s := \mathrm{Tr}\, T_s(R - K)$. Conversely, from the semisimplicity of $\mathfrak{h}$ it follows that these ϕ_s form the maximal set of the first class components in (5).

We now implement the constraints in the path-integral framework using the familiar prescription [13, 14]. The easiest way to do this is to add gauge fixing conditions $\xi_s = 0$ for the residual first class subset of constraints $\phi_s = 0$ so that the total set of constraints $\varphi_k := (\phi_i, \xi_s)$ becomes second class. With this second class set of constraints the phase space path-integral reads

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}g\, \mathcal{D}R\, \delta(\varphi_k)\, \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\{\varphi_k, \varphi_{k'}\}|\, \exp\!\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\int \theta - \frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^T dt\, H\right), \tag{7}$$

where θ is the canonical 1-form in (1), that is, $\int \theta = -\int_0^T dt\, R_m (g^{-1}\dot{g})^m$, and H is the Hamiltonian (3). The path-integral measure in (7) is formally defined from the volume (Liouville) form of the phase space ω^N ($N = \dim \mathrm{G}$) by taking its product over time, $\mathcal{D}g\,\mathcal{D}R = \prod_t \omega^N(t)$ where $\omega^N = \prod_{m=1}^N (g^{-1}dg)^m\, dR_m$; thus $\mathcal{D}g$ is a product of the Haar measure of the group G over t.

The simplicity of the constraints (5), which are (at most) linear in the momentum variables R_i, allows us to implement them trivially by integrating over all the momentum variables R_m. Indeed, since the determinant factor in (7) is proportional to $\det|\{\phi_s\,, \xi_{s'}\}|$ on the constrained surface, we can choose the gauge fixing conditions $\xi_s = 0$ so that the determinant be independent of R_m and thereby carry out the integrations on R_m at once; the result is

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}g\, \delta(\xi_s)\, \det|\{\phi_s, \xi_{s'}\}|\, \exp\!\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^T dt\, L_{\mathrm{tot}}\right), \tag{8}$$

where

$$L_{\mathrm{tot}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}(g^{-1}\dot{g}|_{\mathfrak{r}})^2 - \mathrm{Tr}\, K(g^{-1}\dot{g}|_{\mathfrak{h}})\,. \tag{9}$$

In the above we denoted by $|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ (or $|_{\mathfrak{r}}$) the projection to the space $\mathfrak{h}$ (or $\mathfrak{r}$) in $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by the orthogonal decompostion $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ where $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$, which is automatically *reductive* [15], *i.e.*, $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{r}] \subset \mathfrak{r}$. From this it follows that the first term in the Lagrangian (9) is invariant under $g \to g\,\tilde{h}$ for $\tilde{h} \in \mathrm{H}$ and hence depends only on G/H. Clearly, the effects of non-trivial quantizations are contained in the second term in (9) which is proportional to K. Before

examining the effects, we wish to deduce the restrictions on the parameters in K mentioned earlier.

To this end, we first observe that under the transformations $g \to g\,s$, for $s \in \mathrm{S}_K$ where S_K is the exponential group of $\mathfrak{s}_K$, the total Lagrangian varies as

$$L_{\rm tot} \longrightarrow L_{\rm tot} + \Delta L_{\rm tot}\,, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \Delta L_{\rm tot} = -\,\mathrm{Tr}\,K(s^{-1}\dot{s})\,. \tag{10}$$

Then, parametrizing $s = e^{\theta^r T_r} e^{\xi^p T_p}$ where $\{T_r\}$ and $\{T_p\}$ are bases in $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$, we find $\Delta L_{\rm tot} = -\frac{d}{dt}(K_r\theta^r)$. Thus the Lagrangian is invariant up to a total time derivative, which is the residual gauge symmetry at the classical level. However, if we require the symmetry to persist at the quantum level (which we must to ensure that the path-integral (8) is independent of the gauge fixing), then we need to take into account the contribution from the boundary in the path-integral. To examine this explicitly, consider the transition amplitude from an initial point g_0 at $t = 0$ to a final point g_1 at $t = T$. The sum in the path-integral contains all possible paths $g(t)$ going from $g(0) = g_0$ to $g(T) = g_1$, but to each such path there is a class of paths related to each other by a gauge transformation, $g(t) \to g(t)\,s(t)$ with $s(0) = s(T) = 1$. The gauge invariance at the quantum level requires that the paths within a gauge equivalent class must contribute to the sum of the path-integral with the same amplitude, *i.e.*, they must have the same phase factor. Using H_{α_r} in the Chevalley basis[3] for our basis in $\mathfrak{t}$ as $T_r = \frac{1}{i}H_{\alpha_r}$, we find from the periodic property that gauge transformations with $s(t) = e^{\theta^r(t)T_r}$ that respect the boundary condition satisfy $\theta^r(T) - \theta^r(0) = 2\pi n_r$, where n_r are integers. (More precisely, n_r are integers for the universal covering group $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}$ of H, but for a non-simply connected group H they are multiple of integers; see footnote 3.) From this one sees that the requirement $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^T dt\,\Delta L_{\rm tot}} = 1$, or

$$\int_0^T dt\,\Delta L_{\rm tot} = -2\pi\,n_r K_r = 2\pi\hbar \times \text{integer}\,, \tag{11}$$

for any class of gauge transformations (*i.e.*, for any n_r), is equivalent to $K_r/\hbar \in \mathbb{Z}$. But since any weight can be brought to a dominant weight by using Weyl reflections, which

[3] In the complex extension $\mathfrak{h}_{\rm c}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, one can choose the Chevalley basis $\{H_\alpha, E_\varphi\}$ where α are simple roots and φ are roots [12]. To every dominant weight χ there exists an irreducible representation — highest weight representation — of $\mathfrak{h}$ where the Cartan elements H_α are diagonal; in particular, on the states $|\chi,\mu\rangle$ specified by the weights μ connected to the the dominant weight χ (identified as the highest weight in the representation) their eigenvalues are all integer, $H_\alpha|\chi,\mu\rangle = \mu(H_\alpha)\,|\chi,\mu\rangle$ with $\mu(H_\alpha) = 2\mu\cdot\alpha/|\alpha|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This integral property of H_α leads to the periodicity $e^{2n\pi i H_\alpha} = 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the exponential mapping defined in the universal covering group $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}$ of H. For a non-simply connected group H the periodicity is different; it is mulitplied by a factor determined by the discrete normal subgroup N of $\widetilde{\mathrm{H}}$ for which H $\simeq \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}/\mathrm{N}$. For instance, for $\mathrm{Spin}(n) = \widetilde{\mathrm{SO}}(n)$ we have $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ but for $\mathrm{SO}(n) \simeq \mathrm{Spin}(n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ we find $2n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

means that we can always choose the basis H_{α_r} such that $K_r \geq 0$, we see that these integer parameters are precisely associated to the integers which label the highest weight representations of H:

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} K_r = \chi(H_{\alpha_r})\,, \qquad \text{for} \quad r = 1, \ldots, \operatorname{rank} \mathrm{H}\,. \tag{12}$$

Let us next examine the dynamical implications of the Lagrangian (9). For this, it is convenient to decompose g as $g = \sigma\, h$ with $\sigma \in \mathrm{G}$, $h \in \mathrm{H}$, where $\sigma = \sigma(q)$ is a section $\mathrm{G/H} \mapsto \mathrm{G}$ parametrized by a set of local coordinates $\{q^\alpha\}$ on G/H. (Of course, σ must necessarily be singular unless there exists a global section, and this is, in fact, the reason why the H-connection A discussed later can be topologically non-trivial.) Then the Lagrangian (9) becomes the sum of three terms

$$L_{\mathrm{tot}} = L_{\mathrm{G/H}} + L_{O_K} + L_{\mathrm{int}} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta}(q)\, \dot{q}^\alpha \dot{q}^\beta - \operatorname{Tr} K(h^{-1}\dot{h}) - \operatorname{Tr}\big(hKh^{-1} A_\alpha(q)\big)\, \dot{q}^\alpha\,, \tag{13}$$

where the metric $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{ab}\, e^a_{\ \alpha}\, e^b_{\ \beta}$ on G/H is given from the vielbein $e = e^a_{\ \alpha}\, dq^\alpha\, T_a := \sigma^{-1} d\sigma|_{\mathfrak{r}}$. The first term $L_{\mathrm{G/H}}$ is just the Lagrangian for a free particle on G/H, whereas L_{O_K} and L_{int}, being proportional to K, deserve separate considerations.

First, we note that L_{O_K} is the first order Lagrangian [16, 17] for the system defined on the coadjoint orbit $O_K \simeq \mathrm{H/S}_K$ of the group H passing through K [18]. The natural set of local coordinates of the coadjoint orbit is given by $S_i := -\operatorname{Tr}(T_i hKh^{-1})$, which describe the 'generalized spin' in the sense that they form the algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ under the Dirac bracket $\{S_i\,, S_j\}^* = f^k_{ij}\, S_k$ defined with respect to the second class constraints $\varphi_k = (\phi_i, \xi_s)$. Upon quantization, this Dirac bracket is replaced by the quantum commutator,

$$[\widehat{S}_i\,, \widehat{S}_j] = i\hbar\, f^k_{ij}\, \widehat{S}_k\,. \tag{14}$$

Observe, on the other hand, that the change of section, $\sigma \to \sigma\, \tilde{h}$, $h \to \tilde{h}^{-1} h$ for $\tilde{h} \in \mathrm{H}$, induces the following transformation on the vielbein,

$$e = e^a T_a \longrightarrow \tilde{h}^{-1} e\, \tilde{h} = e^a M_a^{\ b}(\tilde{h})\, T_b\,, \qquad \text{with} \quad M_a^{\ b}(\tilde{h}) := \eta^{bc} \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{h}^{-1} T_a \tilde{h}\, T_c)\,, \tag{15}$$

where $\{T_a\}$ is a basis in $\mathfrak{r}$, which specifies the vielbein frame in the tangent space on the coset space G/H. Since (15) leaves the metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ invariant, it is an $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ ($n = \dim(\mathrm{G/H})$) rotation of the vielbein frame. In fact, on account of the reductive decomposition the complement $\mathfrak{r}$ automatically furnishes a representation of the group H by the adjoint action (15), producing the $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ frame rotation. Thus, given a representation of (14), one can

determine the behaviour (respresentation) of the generalized spin under the 'space-time' frame rotation (15).

Second, the term $L_{\rm int}$ in (13) describes the interaction of the so-called canonical H-connection [15, 19, 20] $A := \sigma^{-1}d\sigma|_{\mathfrak{h}} = A_\alpha dq^\alpha$ minimally coupled to the particle and the generalized spin. Note that under the change of section the H-connection transforms as $A \to \tilde{h}^{-1}A\,\tilde{h} + \tilde{h}^{-1}d\tilde{h}$, and as a result the Lagrangian $L_{\rm tot}$ acquires a formal gauge invariance observed in the Hamiltonian description by Landsman and Linden [9] (see also [10, 11, 21]). The H-connection is concisely characterized by the fact that its curvature $F := dA + A \wedge A$ in the vielbein frame has components $F^i_{ab} = -f^i_{ab}$ given precisely by the structure constants appearing in $[T_a, T_b] = f^i_{ab}T_i$. Another important feature is that the H-connection is actually a solution of the Yang-Mills equation on the coset space G/H (for a proof, see [4, 22]).

From the equations of motion derived from $L_{\rm tot}$, we find that the generalized spin S obeys the covariant constancy equations,

$$D_t S := \frac{dS}{dt} + [A_\alpha(q), S]\,\dot{q}^\alpha = 0\,, \tag{16}$$

whereas the trajectory of the particle is determined by

$$\ddot{q}^\alpha + \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}(q)\,\dot{q}^\beta\dot{q}^\gamma - g^{\alpha\beta}(q)\,S_i\,F^i_{\beta\gamma}(q)\,\dot{q}^\gamma = 0\,, \tag{17}$$

where $\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$ is the Levi-Civita connection. Eqs. (16) and (17) are essentially the Wong equations [23, 24] under the special, background non-abelian potential (the H-connection) with the couplings (the parameters in K) in S taking only discrete values (they are quantized).

Let us now apply the above construction to the quantization on the four-sphere S^4 with radius r, which we regard as the coset Spin(5)/Spin(4). The four-sphere S^4 may be thought of as a finite Euclidean version of the four dimensional Minkowski space-time (with t being a proper time), and our aim is to see the possible effects of inequivalent quantizations for finite r. We shall use the defining representation of spin(5), *i.e.*, the spinor representation of so(5), and choose our bases in $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$ as

$$T_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2i}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & \\ & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } i = 1,\,2,\,3, \\ \frac{1}{2i}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{i-3} & \\ & -\sigma_{i-3} \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } i = 4,\,5,\,6, \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

and

$$T_a = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2i}\begin{pmatrix} & \sigma_a \\ \sigma_a & \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } a = 1,\, 2,\, 3, \\ \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}, & \text{for } a = 4, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

for which $\mathrm{tr}(T_m\, T_n) = -\delta_{mn}$. To assign the radius r to S^4 for our spinor representation we choose the constant in our 'Tr' as $c = \frac{1}{r^2}$ (see Appendix C in [4]), which leads to the metric in the vielbein frame $\eta_{ab} = r^2\delta_{ab}$.

Since the two so(4) spinor representations given in (18) are reducible (which of course is due to the direct sum structure $\mathrm{so}(4) = \mathrm{su}(2) \oplus \mathrm{su}(2)$), it is already clear that we have two su(2)-valued variables for our generalized spin. We shall label the two su(2) by $\mathrm{su}(2)^+$ and $\mathrm{su}(2)^-$ and introduce the chiral basis

$$T_i^+ = \frac{1}{2}(T_i + T_{i+3}) = \frac{1}{2i}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T_i^- = \frac{1}{2}(T_i - T_{i+3}) = \frac{1}{2i}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

for $i = 1,\, 2,\, 3$, and thereby write $h = h^+\, h^-$ where $h^\pm$ belong to the exponential groups generated by the chiral $\mathrm{su}(2)^\pm$ in the basis (20). Setting $K = j^+T_3^+ + j^-T_3^-$, we find that the Lagrangian for the coadjoint orbit of Spin(4) consists of those for the coadjoint orbits of the two $\mathrm{SU}(2)^\pm$, *i.e.*, for the two conventional spins,

$$L_{O_K} = L^+_{\mathrm{spin}} + L^-_{\mathrm{spin}}\,, \qquad \text{where} \qquad L^\pm_{\mathrm{spin}} := -\hbar j^\pm\, \mathrm{Tr}\, T_3^\pm \big((h^\pm)^{-1}\dot{h}^\pm\big)\,. \tag{21}$$

Indeed, from (14) we have the spin variables $S_i^\pm = -j^\pm\, \mathrm{Tr}\big(T_i^\pm h^\pm T_3^\pm (h^\pm)^{-1}\big)$ forming two commuting su(2) algebras upon quantization,

$$[\widehat{S}_i^+\,, \widehat{S}_j^+] = i\hbar\, \varepsilon_{ijk}\, \widehat{S}_k^+\,, \qquad [\widehat{S}_i^-\,, \widehat{S}_j^-] = i\hbar\, \varepsilon_{ijk}\, \widehat{S}_k^-\,, \qquad [\widehat{S}_i^+\,, \widehat{S}_j^-] = 0\,. \tag{22}$$

Now, from the relations,

$$[T_i^\pm\,, T_a] = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{iab}\, T_b \pm \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ia}\, T_4\,, \qquad [T_i^\pm\,, T_4] = \mp\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ia}\, T_a\,, \tag{23}$$

it is also easy to see that the basis $\{T_{\pm\pm} := T_1 \mp iT_2,\, T_{\pm\mp} := T_3 \mp iT_4\}$ in the space $\mathfrak{r}$ forms a tensor product representation $2^+ \otimes 2^-$ of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ with respect to each chiral $\mathrm{su}(2)^\pm$. Accordingly, the adjoint action (15) of H amounts to the product $\mathrm{SU}(2)^+ \times \mathrm{SU}(2)^-$ transformations in the vielbein frame, which are 'double-valued' in terms of SO(4) frame rotations. This in turn implies that the representations of (22) determine the spin of the particle in exactly the same manner as in the Minkowski space case, where the Lorentz frame rotation (that is, the action of the proper, orthochronous Lorents group) is realized by the

group action of SL(2,$\mathbb{C}$) consisting of two chiral SU(2) actions. Thus we have recovered the conventional, two su(2) chiral 'relativistic' spins from the inequivalent quantizations on S^4.

Turning to the H-connection, we observe that the su(2)-valued H-connections $A^{\pm}$, defined by the decomposition $A = A^+ + A^-$ in terms of the chiral basis (20), couple to the two su(2)$^{\pm}$ spins chirally,

$$L_{\rm int} = {\rm Tr}(S^+ A^+_\alpha)\,\dot{q}^\alpha + {\rm Tr}(S^- A^-_\alpha)\,\dot{q}^\alpha\,. \tag{24}$$

It is then easy to confirm that these chiral H-connections $A^{\pm}$ are nothing but a BPST instanton and anti-instanton, respectively [19]. We shall here compute explicitly the Chern number C_2 [25] of each of the su(2)-valued H-connection $A^{\pm}$. We start with

$$F^{\pm} \wedge F^{\pm} = \frac{1}{4r^4}\varepsilon^{abcd}\, f^i_{ab}\, f^j_{cd}\, T^{\pm}_i\, T^{\pm}_j\, \Omega\,, \tag{25}$$

where $F^{\pm}$ are the curvatures corresponding to $A^{\pm}$ and $\Omega = r^4 e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$ is the volume form on S^4, that is, $\int_{S^4}\Omega = \frac{8\pi^2 r^4}{3}$. Using the structure constants found in the commutation relations, $[T_a, T_b] = \varepsilon_{abi}\,T_i$ and $[T_a, T_4] = \delta_{a,i-3}\,T_i$ for a, b = 1, 2, 3, one finds that the instanton number for $F^{\pm}$ — given by (-1) times C_2 — is

$$n^{\pm} = -C_2[F^{\pm}] = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_{S^4}{\rm tr}(F^{\pm}\wedge F^{\pm}) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\cdot\frac{8\pi^2 r^4}{3}\cdot\frac{(\mp 3)}{r^4} = \pm 1\,, \tag{26}$$

which shows that $A^{\pm}$ is indeed a BPST instanton (anti-instanton). One can also confirm that $F^{\pm}$ satisfies the self dual (anti-self dual) equation, $*F^{\pm} = \pm F^{\pm}$.

Thus we have seen that, on the four-sphere S^4, a background BPST instanton (and anti-instanton) emerges naturally together with relativistic spin. The instanton effect is in principle observable if the radius r of the (Euclideanized) space-time is finite, although the order $F^i_{\alpha\beta} \sim \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$ implies that the effect is small for a large r, a result consistent with the fact that there emerges no such background potential if we quantize on $Q = \mathbb{R}^4 \simeq {\rm E}(4)/{\rm SO}(4)$ instead of S^4. Finally, we wish to mention that the generalized Dirac approach admits an extension to field theory [26], where, for instance, the inequivalent quantizations in Yang-Mills theory lead to the θ-term in four dimensions [2] and to the Chern-Simons term in three dimensions. Another direction of extension is to go beyond coset spaces, where similar effects are expected to occur in general depending perhaps only on the topology of Q [2, 27].

Acknowledgements: We both wish to thank John Lewis for his support in this work, and I.T. wishes to thank László Fehér and Lochlainn O'Raifeartaigh for discussions.

References

[1] G.W. Mackey, "Induced Representations of Groups and Quantum Mechanics"(Benjamin, New York, 1969).

[2] C.J. Isham, in "Relativity, Groups and Topology II" eds. B.S. DeWitt and R. Stora (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984).

[3] N.P. Landsman, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **2** (1990) 45, 73; **4** (1992) 503.

[4] D. McMullan and I. Tsutsui, "On the emergence of gauge structures and generalized spin when quantizing on a coset space", DIAS-STP-93-14.

[5] P.A.M. Dirac, "Lectures on Quantum Mechanics" (Yeshiva, New York, 1964).

[6] R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden, "Foundations of Mechanics" Second Edition (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, 1978).

[7] N.P. Landsman, "Rieffel induction as generalized quantum Marsden-Weinstein reduction", DAMTP-93-22.

[8] See, for example, R. Rajaraman, "Solitons and Instantons" (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).

[9] N.P. Landsman and N. Linden, *Nucl. Phys.* **B365** (1991) 121.

[10] M. Koch, "Quantum mechanics on homogeneous configuration spaces and classical particles in Yang-Mills fields", Universität Freiburg preprint THEP 91/19.

[11] Y. Ohnuki and S. Kitakado, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7** (1992) 2477; *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993) 2827.

[12] S.E. Humphreys, "Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory" (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972).

[13] L.D. Faddeev, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **1** (1970) 1.

[14] P. Senjanovic, *Ann. Phys.* **100** (1976) 227.

[15] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, "Foundations of Differential Geometry" Vols.I,II (Interscience, New York, 1969).

[16] A. Alekseev, L. Faddeev and S. Shatashvili, *J. Geom. Phys.* **5** (1989) 391.

[17] A. Alekseev and S. Shatashvili, *Nucl. Phys.* **B323** (1989) 719.

[18] See, for example, A.M. Perelomov, "Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie Algebras" (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990).

[19] F.A. Bais and P. Batenburg, *Nucl. Phys.* **B269** (1986) 363.

[20] T. Jaroszewicz and P.S. Kurzepa, *Ann. Phys.* **213** (1992) 135.

[21] S. Tanimura, "Quantum mechanics on manifolds", Nagoya Univ. preprint DPNU-93-21.

[22] H.T. Laquer, in "Group actions on manifolds", *Contemp. Math.* **36** (AMS, Providence, 1983).

[23] S.K. Wong, *Nuovo Cimento* **65A** (1970) 689.

[24] A.P. Balachandran, G. Marmo, B.-S. Skagerstam and A. Stern, "Gauge Symmetries and Fibre Bundles: Application to Particle Dynamics", Lecture Notes in Physics **188** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983).

[25] T. Eguchi, P.B. Gilkey and A.J. Hanson, *Phys. Rep.* **66** (1980) 213.

[26] D. McMullan and I. Tsutsui, "Functional gauge structures in gauge theories", in preparation.

[27] Y. Ohnuki and S. Kitakado, "Quantum mechanics on a closed loop", Nagoya Univ. preprint CGNU-93-01.